

Magazine of the British National Party

Identity

£1.95

July 2004

Issue 45

808,200 Rays of Hope

BNP Euro-vote tally increases eight-fold



July's News

■ Another 3,500 HSBC Jobs Go

HSBC has rightly been accused of carrying out a "savage jobs cull" after announcing that 3,500 more British banking jobs are to go. About 1,100 jobs will go at Canary Wharf and at large regional offices including sites in Leeds and Cardiff.

In this latest act of global capitalism, processing centres in Leeds, Frimley and Avon will close in 2006, with a further 550 positions transferred to HSBC's expanding global centres in Asia.

■ Eastern Threat to Jobs

The Institution of Mechanical Engineers has warned that British manufacturers will struggle to compete against cheaper overheads and labour costs in the eight eastern European countries that joined the EU in May. Almost two-thirds of respondents in the Institution's quarterly survey said that the EU enlargement would hurt Britain and manufacturing production would decline or stagnate in the next 12 months.

■ Rape Of Under-aged Girls

The following three reports are taken from 15 cases of rape in Britain by immigrants or non-Europeans that have been reported to the Editor in the past three months.

1. An appeal has gone out for witnesses after an 11-year-old girl was raped in an alley off Lincoln Street, Oldham. The attacker is described as a large Asian man. *BBC Manchester*.

2. An Asylum seeker from Afghanistan has appeared in North Staffordshire Magistrates court accused of two offences of rape. Mohibella Ashfar, aged 19, of Elgin Street, Shelton, is accused of two separate offences of rape on two different girls under the age of 16. *The Sentinel, Staffordshire*.

3. A young mother walking her baby in

a pushchair was grabbed and dragged into an alleyway off Hutchinson Green in St. Anns, Nottingham, where she was raped. The suspect is described as of mixed race and of a stocky build, with distinctive plaited hair with red beads in the front. *BBC Nottingham*.

■ Tory Leader Profiteering From Asylum

Conservative Party leader Michael Howard, who manages to combine vilification of the BNP with attempts to steal our anti-immigration vote, has had to face charges of 'asylum profiteering' and hypocrisy from his political opponents. This followed the revelation that he is an investor in a company helping the Home Office to settle asylum seekers in Britain.

Howard has £30,000 of shares in communications firm Incepta. A subsidiary company, Citigate Lloyd Northover, recently won two lucrative Home Office contracts to develop websites and communications technology to speed up applications from immigrants to enter the UK.

The firm is also busy profiting from revamping the Immigration and Nationality Directorate website for the Government so as to facilitate the entrances and settling of more asylum seekers into Britain.

■ UKIP MEP Faces Fraud Charge



Ashley Mote, a newly elected UKIP MEP for the south-east region is facing criminal charges relating to an alleged housing benefit fraud. His case came before Chichester Crown Court last month where he was facing nine charges of false accounting and one of making a false representation. Mr Mote has strongly denied the

allegations.

The charges have been brought by the Department of Work and Pensions and a trial date has been provisionally set for November.

■ New Homes for 'Asians Only'

An old people's home in Tower Hamlets, London, that catered for whites and Asians has been demolished and a new state-of-the-art housing block has been built in its place. It will provide sheltered housing for 40 Muslim "elders" and white pensioners will be excluded! Apparently this discrimination has been approved by the Commission for Racial Equality.

Criticism of the project has come from the local Tories who warned that this form of "segregation" could be seized on by the BNP. Too true!

■ How Could This Happen?

In South Africa a Limpopo farmer appeared in Musina Magistrate's court last month on charges of killing a Zimbabwean labourer after allegedly mistaking him for a baboon.

Jewell Crossberg, 45, was not asked to plead on charges of murder and was granted R8,000 bail pending his next court appearance. Captain Ailwei Mushavhanamadi for the police said that the victim - identity not known - was in bushes on Crossberg's farm when he was shot.

Identity

Editor: John Bean
July 2004

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 841,
Balsham, CB1 6WF
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Note: Back issues can only be supplied for a minimum of 3 copies. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 8WT.
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

Against All The Odds We Gained A Victory

"Half a Million Votes Will be a Victory", was the heading to this column in the April issue. The opening paragraph went on to say: "Even if the BNP fails to have one MEP elected . . . it will have established itself as the most successful nationalist movement this country has seen." And against all the odds we did just that. As is shown elsewhere in this issue, on June 10th we obtained a combined vote at the Council, London Mayor/Assembly, and Euro MEP elections of around a million, and ended up with four more Councillors than we started with.

Some readers may be disappointed that in losing some good Councillors our tally was not higher and that we did not get a Euro MP elected. As Nick Griffin shows in his articles, the reason for this is that it was the most undemocratically run election since the introduction of universal suffrage and had more similarities with a Mugabe-style Zimbabwean electoral farce than a traditional British election. As far as the BNP was concerned the media mouthpieces of the old parties at this election showed as much concern for truth, old-fashioned fair play and decency as King Herod did for the welfare of small boys.

The Hyping-up Of UKIP

As radical Nationalists and not disillusioned Tories who might be tempted to run back to the fold with a cry of "we woz robbed!", we must also face the fact that a substantial BNP vote switched to the UK Independence Party, particularly in the Euro elections and for the London Assembly. This was the result of a deliberate campaign of hyping up the UKIP by the media, including those supporting the Tories such as the *Telegraph* and the *Mail*, in order to draw off support from the British National Party.

Until a month ago, the UKIP's image was that of a one string fiddle playing the single tune of "Get Britain out of The EC". Its officers past and present, including de facto leader, Nigel Farage, are on record of speaking out against any patriots who called for restrictions on immigration. They told us they were proud to have many Afro-Asian supporters and even candidates! Then, at a total cost of nearly £1 million, they got publicist Max Clifford and President's Clinton's former guru, Dick Morris, on board.

Apart from getting the support of some second rate personalities and providing a potential new source of income for Kilroy Silk on the EU gravy train, they came up with the idea that UKIP should let it be known that "they were concerned about asylum seekers and the number of new immigrants in general". It worked. Many voters who have yet to see through the duplicity of UKIP and who also are not yet fully aware of the mendacity of the media, switched from contemplating voting BNP to UKIP because they thought it was the more effective action if you wanted Britain out of the EU and to also do something about immigration.

Clifford and Morris apparently put money before any political principles. When asked by a *Telegraph* reporter who he would vote for, Max Clifford said: "I will vote Labour, as I normally do." As for personally

being "tough on immigration", remember that he was in a squabble with the solicitor representing the 'Tipton Boys' the Muslim Britons freed from American custody over who should represent them in a bidding war among publishers for their story! As for Dick Morris, he was one of the leading advocates for America throwing open its doors to Mexican and Asian immigrants during the Clinton administration.

The lightweight reality of the UKIP, devoid of any political philosophy, was exposed in a letter to the *Daily Telegraph* of May 26th from Dr Alan Sked who was the founder of the UKIP and who is now back in the Tory fold. He said that they were "entirely unworthy of support, even as a protest party" and that their three MEPs had achieved little or nothing in the past five years. "Their often intellectually low-calibre candidates can achieve nothing at all in the future." He went on: "Given that there is little reason to occupy seats and then vote no to everything, one could easily conclude that UKIP candidates are in effect standing for the money - salaries, pensions and expenses."

In a follow-up article Dr. Sked wrote for the *Sunday Telegraph* some more details emerged on the character of Nigel Farage - who already had the reputation of spending £100 a bottle for cheap champagne in Brussels and London strip joints. Sked wrote: "... the extra-parliamentary behaviour of the party's de facto leader, Nigel Farage, led UKIP's research director, Dr Richard North to resign, saying: 'I am not prepared to be a bag-carrier... for Farage, or write his letters, or be available to pour him into a taxi when he was so blind drunk that he could no longer stand, or cover for him when he failed to turn up for morning appointments because he had been out on the tiles all night long'.

Readers, when the transient flame of the febrile UKIP has burnt out, the British National Party will still be here and so will I, unless I am in my box first.



John Bean

Editor

*Nick Griffin gives a broad answer to the question*

Where Do We Go From Here?

"The BNP remains as dangerous as ever," warned David Blunkett once he'd digested the shocking news that the most undemocratic and rigged election in modern British history had seen our party emerge with a record number of council seats and an eight-fold increase in our total vote. No doubt the Labour Home Secretary was also painfully aware of the fact that the BNP came second, beating all other candidates save Labour, in the wards it contested in his own city of Sheffield.

It is tempting to devote this article to the myriad details of just how well we actually did relative to five years ago. It is tempting to dwell on the mathematics of the two campaigns, which show that, once all our saved deposits are taken into account, the BNP's 808,000 votes campaign for the European elections of 2004 didn't cost us very much more than the 104,000 vote effort in 1999.

And it is tempting to expend a great deal of energy in justifiable indignation about some of the almost unbelievably dishonest and corrupt tricks played by our opponents to hinder our campaign. New Labour started it by changing the date of the council elections and abolishing the secret ballot and replacing it in our best areas with a postal system that is an open invitation to fraud and voter intimidation.

Then there were the illegal smear leaflets, the alternating lies and silences of the mass media, blatant interference in the democratic process by politicised police chiefs, and the non-delivery both of BNP election addresses and even ballot papers in some of our strongest areas in places like Oldham and Kirklees.

Most outrageous of all was the way in which Jason Douglas was robbed of a seat on the Greater London Assembly by the leftist town hall officials who spoilt thousands of valid BNP votes by adding second crosses to them. As for the rest of the country, only the release of the marked electoral registers, which

show whose ballot papers were actually counted, will allow us to get an idea of just how many BNP votes were stolen by the minority of far-left postmen or when they were left unguarded in town hall offices for three whole days.

Let's not beat about the bush: The old parties and the media between them gerrymandered and rigged that election on a scale not seen in this country since the Great Reform Act of 1832. It was more like something out of Mugabe's Zimbabwe than the democracy we were supposed to be celebrating securing on the 60th anniversary of D-Day.


Yes, it's very tempting to deal on such things, and to reassure ourselves that "we'd have won if they hadn't fiddled it". Tempting, but rather futile. It's far more important that we concentrate our minds on

deciding where we go from here:

The remainder of this article will therefore concentrate on four crucial next steps: Legal challenges over some of the most blatant fiddles; the need to pick and prepare for electoral battles on our own ground; diversification of our efforts beyond electioneering to include the community and cultural activism required to stay the distance in a long struggle, and the closely related need to grow deep ideological roots.

THE LEGAL CHALLENGE

By the afternoon of the day after the count, the BNP's Legal Department lodged a £7,000 initial payment and briefings on no fewer than ten possible grounds for action against the Post Office, the leadership of the postal workers' CWU union, and against various local authorities with



"I cannot overstress the importance of our fighting for our rights in court at every possible opportunity."

our solicitors. They in turn have been told to seek the opinion of Counsel and to come back with recommendations for further action.

In addition, separate complaints have been lodged on various grounds against opponents ranging from the organisations behind illegal and lie-filled leaflets through to politically correct senior police officers who tried to interfere in the election process.

Once the advice is in and the dust has settled, it may be that we will have the opportunity for legal assaults against a series of very tempting targets. Or, a lack of hard evidence may mean that we can only learn from our experiences this time and take steps to reduce our opponent's ability to rob us in future.

Incidentally, it was only as a result of such combined action between our Legal Department and our (expensive) lawyers that the BBC and ITV showed our main election broadcast without cuts that were initially demanded which might have been impossible in the time available. It was precisely this situation which led to the loss of one of our broadcasting slots back in 1999, so those who donated to our legal fund last year can see that their generosity has had concrete results.

I cannot overstress the importance of our fighting for our rights in court at every possible opportunity. Britain is now a land ruled by lawyers, for lawyers, through the courts. If we fail to recognise this and to develop our ability to seek legal redress when we are wronged - and to win in a fair

proportion of such cases - then our opponents will become ever bolder in denying us our basic democratic rights. They've pushed us around for too long; it's time to begin to turn their own system against them.

LOCAL COUNCILS

In the infectious enthusiasm of the most professional election campaign in the history of British nationalism, a number of mainly newer recruits were talking of following our hoped for Euro breakthrough by contesting a record number of seats at the next General Election. One of the best things to come out of these election results is that the BNP leadership will not now be looked at askance when we say that unless there is some drastic change in external circumstances our General Election campaign will involve contesting a limited number of seats where we know we can do well or do further serious damage to the Tory party.

Despite all the internal problems it is going to face, UKIP will still be around at the next election, probably raring to fight every seat in the country except perhaps of Tory-Euro sceptics. Let them, since the result will be disillusionment, exhaustion and a collapse in morale and membership. And, even if UKIP were to vanish overnight, if the BNP was to become a serious threat to the old parties in a General Election, then the Powers That Be would simply pull another such safety valve out of the hat.

Such new parties will always have so much more money and

media sympathy behind them that we cannot beat them in major national elections unless we have first established a network of local strongholds and power-winning machines which can appeal to a majority of voters on their own doorsteps.

This is not a new trick for the British Establishment, the sectarian Marxists of Sinn Fein have for decades found their path to power blocked by the 'moderate nationalist' SDLP. The latter has been backed by the mass media, government officials and money from very dubious sources, and only really raises its head at election times. The former has built up a network of grassroots activists who campaign in their local communities every day of the year and, as a result of long years of intelligently applied activism, Sinn Fein has come out on top.

For us to rush to expend huge sums of money and energy overstretching ourselves at the next General Election, instead of beaver away to win ourselves more council seats, local government clout and in-depth electioneering experience, would therefore be a disastrous error. There will come a time for a big push in a General Election, but we must get to the top of the electoral ladder by climbing the lower rungs first, not by taking a flying leap for the top and smashing our heads against a brick wall.

Even if a miracle occurred and we found that we could reach the top of the wall of power in one go, the antics and attitudes of our 'democratic' opponents over the last couple of months have given us a glimpse behind the mask. The liberal ruling elite in this country has the same totalitarian instincts of the other great ideological movements of the last century, both fascism and communism. I hope that anyone who thought that our masters were any different has learnt the truth over the last few weeks:

The people running this country will *never* simply hand over the reins of power to us. Even when a combination of our organisation and the contradictions of their system give us the chance to win through the ballot box, they will either ban us in

OUR TARGET: The council chambers





EUROPEAN CULTURE: Built to last

advance or refuse to accept the verdict of the electorate. We will only overcome them if, as well as building a nationwide grassroots electioneering party, we also develop the ability to bring out millions of ordinary Brits in a combination of tax revolt, general strike and the kind of peaceful mass demonstrations that forced our masters' openly Marxist counterparts in the Eastern Bloc out of illegitimate office in 1989.

Since that is a very long way off, we must start where we can; at the beginning, by winning more local power and sinking such deep roots in those communities that we cannot be got out either by lies or repression or by the appearance of an artificial rival.

So rather than ploughing our saved deposits into a General Election Fund, and asking everyone to start fund-raising and donating to top it up in the largest appeal in our history, we will shortly be concentrating on acquiring the assets and experience needed to win more local elections.

We have to recruit and train more candidates and agents, we have to develop our capability to produce first-rate local campaign newsletters, and either to build links with local community and pressure groups or to encourage supportive residents to set them up from scratch.

And we have to ensure that the local government experience our first few councillors already have is

passed on to our new ones so that they hit the ground running and establish for the BNP an ever-growing local reputation for getting things done and helping the people we represent.

A major help here should be the fact that provided they are visited promptly by follow-up teams, invited to barbeques and social events and then to political meetings, a significant minority of our record number of new enquirers should be brought into the party and so provide a big increase in our number of potential activists and, in due course, local election candidates.

One of the key reasons why we didn't gain more seats in the local elections is the fact that we still lack enough activists to put in effective campaigns in more seats than the Labour or Lib Dems can cope with by making intensive efforts to mobilise their semi-fraudulent postal vote. Just a few more seats per area, however, and we'll start leaving them behind and winning a far higher proportion of our target seats.

Local elections are won not with expensive glossy brochures, but by activists helping pensioners tidy their gardens, canvassing and delivering cheaply produced leaflets explaining how the party would sort out local problems.

Provided all our new enquirers are visited, some of them will join and become the activists who will win future council by-elections. This alone justifies the cost of the European campaign.


TIME TO OPEN NEW FRONTS

Much though we oppose their sectarian bigotry and their links to IRA murderers, Sinn Fein also provide another model for a successful radical nationalist movement in Britain. This is their understanding of the fact that politics is about much more than just winning elections, and their efforts to broaden their approach. Almost all great political changes are closely linked to, and often dependent on, cultural changes taking place both among the groups of people who make them happen and in the wider community of which they are a part.

This is why, over the months ahead, the BNP will be beginning to dip its toes in new waters, including efforts by Jonathan Bowden, our National Cultural Officer, to spread awareness of our rich heritage of pro-patriotic authors and artists of all kinds. There is an enormous difference between the xenophobic anti-European and pro-American McDonalds-worship of the bulk of the Tory party and of UKIP, and the position of the BNP, with its close identification with genuine European culture and our desire to preserve and enhance our own localised versions of that culture and identity.

At a more basic level, in a liberal-left state that seeks to mould the minds of the next generation, teaching toddlers the traditional version of Baa Baa Black Sheep is a tiny but immensely symbolic revolutionary act. When it comes to forming the attitudes of the next generation, producing politically incorrect colouring books or children's stories is far more important than producing a coherent nationalist transport policy.

Plans for a 21st century rail network could be cribbed from Japan



REVOLUTIONARY: Baa Baa Black Sheep

at a moment's notice. Rearing children to know who they are and what is right and wrong is the work of decades.

Another cultural project which should come to fruition before the end of the year is the launch of a positive, politically sensible and musically capable record label closely associated with the BNP. This will have the advantage not just of helping to spread our ideas through the very effective medium of music but also, after an initial period of heavy reinvestment, of raising very significant amounts of money to plough into the Cause.

AN IDEOLOGICAL BASE

The struggle in which we are engaged is a long-term one. At some point in the future a fortuitous combination of external circumstances could well give us the chance to short-circuit the whole power-winning process and achieve our goal of state power far more quickly than it is reasonable to expect. But even if that were to happen, it is a fact that a disparate group united only by things to which its members are opposed (as is the case with UKIP) would be very nearly certain to fall apart in internal squabbling once it had to make decisions on various other issues.

Alternatively, it might avoid this division by the simple expedient of making no decisions and doing nothing. Well, I can tell you that I haven't dedicated my life to helping nationalism to come to power in this country just so as to stop and reverse the tide of immigration, then just to sit around and watch the world go by.

For one thing, mass immigration is only the *symptom* - albeit it a particularly visible and dangerous one - of a problem, not the problem itself. Just to deal with the symptom would be to leave the certainty that, as soon as our backs were turned and we'd gone back to our beer or our vegetable gardens or whatever, the underlying problem would carry on turning other things bad, and in due course lead to the symptom re-emerging again as well.

To get into our people's heads the fact that the underlying problem is capitalism, and the drastic over-concentration of both power and

productive wealth in the hands of too few - and the fact that to say this does not make us Marxists, still less opponents of private property or the profit motive - is not something that can be achieved in a single article. It probably cannot be achieved merely by the written word at all, but only by a rolling programme of educational events, CDs, etc.

And that is only one of the foundation stones of a genuine and coherent nationalist ideology, which must start from the basic fact that our plans for society are all designed to work with what modern science, commonsense and our traditions tell us about human nature. This article is not, however, the place to develop such themes, as opposed to signalling why we must do so in the months and years ahead.

The more desperate the old liberal elite become as the inherent contradictions of their whole rotten system bubble to the surface of their cauldron, and the brighter the outlook becomes for our radical nationalism, then the darker and harder things will become for nationalists. To carry them through the tough times ahead - and to ensure that our growing number of councillors sing from the same hymn sheet and strive to take the party in the same direction - our people must share a coherent vision of the society we intend to build.

OVER ONE MILLION VOTES

The fact that June 10th produced a total of well over one million BNP votes will temporarily have given us a significant amount of protection

against the arbitrary and sweeping repression which is our totalitarian masters' instinctive reaction to our growth. But in the longer term, only a fully formed and widely understood ideological bedrock will give our movement both the sense of direction, and the determination to get there come what may, that we must have if we are to win.

In the long run, there may well turn out to have been a deep irony about the results of June 2004. If we had made the big breakthrough we undoubtedly would have enjoyed if it hadn't been for the Establishment's desperate UKIP safety valve gamble, then it is quite likely that these four key areas would not have received the attention they deserve.

We'd have been too busy learning the ropes of the Brussels game and coping with a sudden influx of fair weather friends to pay much attention to getting our foundations right. As a result, the BNP could have become the unstable mushroom which is now UKIP, with too many of our people expecting even greater success just around the corner, only to walk into a brick wall of unmet expectations.

But, instead, the party has just received - and is learning from - the most valuable lesson that any movement which really wants to change things can learn: When you've got a long march ahead of you, you need to get yourself a map and all the right equipment before you start. Above all else, you need to know where you're going, and the route you intend to take. We do, and we're going to get there, whatever and however long it takes.

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT: BNP kept out by the establishment's safety valve





WHAT A SET OF RESULTS!

JUNE 10th POLL BRINGS BNP TO NEW HIGH POINT

More than one million votes, from more than 800,000 voters. Our average percentage up by more than four times. Saving our £5,000 deposits in every one of the nine English Euro-regions and in Wales as well. A national and local total of 10,000 new inquiries. More publicity for our TV broadcasts than for all the other parties put together. The distribution of a large majority of our hard-hitting 25 million full colour election addresses. Greater public recognition for the BNP than ever before. A record number of BNP local councillors elected. These are just a few of the most obvious big plus factors arising from our biggest and most ambitious election campaign ever

And some of our less public advances are just as important: Record levels of Internet traffic which kept public interest in our site running at six times that of our closest rivals, UKIP, and many times more than any other British political party. Our main TV broadcast's focus on the dangers of Islamic extremism allowed us to plant the BNP very firmly in the public's mind in a position which will pay huge dividends when fundamentalist fanatics finally pull off a terrorist spectacular in Britain.

Support among young voters rose even faster than among older people. Donations coming in from the

	Votes	%	Seats	Adjusted Change
Conservative	4,397,087	26.7	27	-8
Labour	3,718,683	22.6	19	-6
UKIP	2,660,768	16.2	12	10
Liberal Democrat	2,452,327	14.9	12	2
Green	1,028,283	6.2	2	2
BNP	808,201	4.9	0	0
Respect	252,216	1.5	0	0
SNP	231,505	1.4	2	0
PC	159,888	1	1	0
Others	749,645	4.6	0	0
TOTAL	16,458,603	100	75	0

general public allowed us to run our whole central inquiries operation, complete with dedicated call centre and full colour brochure sent to every single inquirer, at no cost to our central or regional funds.


Various commentators and Establishment politicians watched aghast at how our national and regional press officers used our 'notoriety', media-savvy and the hysterical over-reaction of our opponents to get publicity out of all proportion to our size. Until the huge Establishment campaign to spin UKIP into prominence took over in the last couple of weeks of the

Election Highlight #1

We will not repeat here the very detailed breakdown of our excellent results that are published this month in *Freedom*. But it is helpful to highlight the way in which our vote absolutely skyrocketed in a number of constituencies compared to 1999. Unfortunately this factor has been masked by the fact that voting figures are no longer released on a Westminster parliamentary constituency basis.

Had this been done, however, there would have been much greater recognition of just how well we did to produce, all over the country, spectacular jumps in like-for-like votes such as these in the North West. And perhaps apologists for multi-culturalism would have to explain what's happening in a place like Rochdale to cause a massive eighteen-fold increase in the BNP vote in just five years!

Liverpool 338 votes leapt to 4,611
Wirral 303 votes leapt to 4,665
Bolton 603 votes leapt to 5,427
Rochdale 331 votes leapt to 5,949



Election Highlight #2

The BNP's highest shares of the vote were in Burnley (16.7%), closely followed by Stoke and Barking & Dagenham.

17,144 BNP votes were counted in Kirklees, West Yorkshire.

The average BNP local council vote over 309 seats in 59 local authorities was 16.1%


Source: House of Commons Research Paper

All the effort of our European Election campaign undoubtedly had a big impact in terms of our credibility in the local elections and in the GLA contest on the same day. Our big breakthroughs to win four seats on Bradford council and three in Epping Forest were outstanding results, and helped to contribute to our ending the campaign with a net gain of four seats to bring us to an all-time record of 21.

This figure could easily have been very much higher, but for a number of 'near misses' in which fraud by Labour and LibDem activists and leftist election officials robbed the voters of their democratic choice.

Identity hopes to publish features on some of our

% Change 1999-2004



campaign, the whole election often seemed to centre on the BNP.

We produced no fewer than five different TV broadcasts, including one for the little-watched Channel Five which achieved its purpose of getting huge publicity about Politically Correct censorship and for our main BBC/ITV offering later the same evening.

Last minute changes demanded by several TV companies, as petty as the one which stopped the BNP getting a broadcast on Channel 4 back in 1999, were overcome by our newly acquired ability not just to make such a variety of programmes but also to make urgent changes virtually overnight.

The fact that, in Wales, we had specially-made broadcasts in both English and Welsh, instead of just showing the main broadcast intended for England, was undoubtedly a factor in our winning enough votes to retain our £5,000 deposit. Even though we narrowly missed doing so in Scotland, our five-fold increase in our vote relative to the last European elections five years ago was also clearly helped by having Scottish voices on their own broadcast.

new councillors in the months ahead but, for the time being, we will simply wish them well, and congratulate every single candidate who stood up for the BNP and British democracy in the face of all the opposition hostility, dirty tricks and dishonesty in the most rigged election in modern British history.

Everyone involved can be very proud of what we achieved, and can rest assured that we'll do even better in the future as we learn the lessons and build on the progress of June 2004.

Election Highlight #3

UKIP's highest share of the vote - 37.6% - was in the Lincolnshire town of Boston, where BNP activists also got one of the best responses of the campaign with a leaflet protesting against police attempts to stop locals flying the Cross of St. George lest it 'offend' the huge number of Portuguese and African workers in the town. Nothing could better illustrate the way in which UKIP acted as an establishment safety valve for BNP support.



Health Service Briefing



Latest NHS Notes from Dr Alan Cornwall

Readers of *Identity* will have followed with interest the recent case of Dr Terence Hope, eminent brain surgeon from Nottingham's Queens Medical Centre, who was recently suspended from his job. This was serious for Dr Hope and even more serious for the patients whose operations were cancelled. To merit such treatment one would assume that Dr Hope had been guilty of some dreadful crime. His offence was in fact to take extra croutons for soup without paying. Whilst he was suspended three operations had to be cancelled. That's three people who did not have vital surgery because Tony Blair's NHS decided to suspend someone in a row over tiny pieces of dry bread.

In my last article for *Identity* (January 2004) I wrote about the climate of fear that now dominates our public services. What better example can there be of an NHS gone mad with procedure and bureaucracy than the case of Dr Hope? Readers will be relieved to hear that no further action is to be taken and that Dr Hope is back at work, no doubt wondering why the NHS can find money to engage in this sort of lunacy but can't find enough money to fund decent services.

OBESITY

Also regularly in the news over the past few weeks has been obesity. To put it into context, obesity causes around 30,000 deaths a year in the UK and costs the NHS £500 million annually. 19% of Britons are obese with a further 39% being overweight. The number of obese children has doubled since 1982 it now stands at 17% of all 15 year olds. It is reported that ministers are in consultation as to how to tackle what has been described as 'a health time bomb'. I would confidently predict that targets on obesity will emerge before the end of the year to be met / fiddled by the next election. No doubt thousands, if not millions, will be spent on

research and reports to state the blindingly obvious. As individuals we need to take more exercise and eat more healthy food.

Government ministers could be asking themselves some pretty common sense questions though. For example, why have we decimated competitive sports in our schools in favour of politically correct 'activities'? Why as a nation do we continue to build over our children's sports pitches and play areas? Why have we allowed our culture to become infested with junk food outlets run by multi-nationals who have no interest in our nation's health? Whatever happened to the old-fashioned school dinner? All sensible questions that I am sure we won't be hearing answers to.

Finally this month good news for all us 'techophobes' who have been arguing for years that computers are bad for us. A recent study at the University of Oxford has found that computers are literally keeping our children awake. More than two thirds of children now have a computer or games console in their bedroom, the result predictably being more games playing and less sleep. The study found that today's children get between two and five hours less sleep a night as a result. Poor sleep is linked to a range of health problems, both physical and mental.

The report concludes that the traditional bedtime routine has become a nightmare for many parents with a nightly battle to get the computer switched off! Even worse, children are using their mobile phones under the bedclothes to text message each other! No doubt this is sounding all too familiar to parents reading this. Also for teachers who are faced with sleepy and irritable children in school the next morning. So, the next time you find yourself locked in battle with your children about whether it's time for the computer to be switched off or not you will at least know that you have the backing of the very latest medical research.

Deculturalisation and the Awakening of Albion - Conclusion

By Lee Barnes

We apologise to readers and to Lee Barnes for the errors in the presentation of the first part of this article in the May issue. As will have been noted, the first page was repeated on the following page. After describing the natural order that existed in the Kentish village where he grew up, Lee Barnes then started to describe the deculturalised order that now exists and is typical of much of British rural areas of today. This theme is continued.

Nature is a stranger to the landscape now, for the heavy hand of man's greed has etched deep into the torn earth and left ugly scars of industrial development. Where once I walked in William Blake's footsteps along the reed tufted mud banks of the Thames, watching for the same angels he saw then in the treetops, now burnt-out cars, piles of tyres and broken TV sets sprout. The torn pages of pornographic magazines pollute the woods where I once gathered bluebells for my mother. It is the wasteland of a fallen Albion.

The village where I grew up has changed along with the land itself. Drugs, alcoholism and violence are all now features of the streets where I lived. The village has been socially destroyed and a small town built upon its broken bones. A few weeks ago, the local Asian Post Office owner was covered in petrol by a thug and threatened with being set on fire for his day's takings. Young women have been mugged and heroin dealers operate from the playgrounds and parks. Kebab shops, curry houses and a Chinese restaurant have all appeared and starkly emphasise the alienation of the young white Britons that gather to smoke, spit, swear and drink outside them most nights. A generation of young confused people haunt the shadows at night like the restless undead, their eyes bereft of hope and their hearts full of hate. The sense of community that I grew up with has gone. Now, every section of society is at war

with one another, each desperate to cut the other's throat for a few moments of financial superiority. Only flowers of hate grow in the gardens of betrayal.

Where once I watched kingfishers pierce the silvery surface of slow streams, oil gluts the reed beds and discarded beer cans strew the banks. An airport may yet be built on the Northwood Hill bird reserve where I used to watch barn owls and egrets drifting like ghosts at dusk. Vast warehouse-style housing estates to accommodate cheap foreign labour to be used to maintain an unsustainable globally competitive British economy are being planned for the peninsula by the Government. Property developers and the rusting jaws of bulldozers salivate in anticipation of devouring more of the tranquil ancient woodlands every year.

Each month that elapses the dark machines of industrial development devour more acres of farmland and marsh. It is as though Man is rushing into a terrible void of his own creation, an abyss of self hate that makes him lash out in anger at the beauty that still lingers before him. In order not to be confronted with the inherent ugliness of his present perverted condition Man must destroy all reminders of the beauty of the past. Only by destroying nature and making everything equally ugly, can the memory of our natural beauty and ancient greatness be extirpated.

QUANTITY OVER QUALITY

The age of quantity over quality, where everything has its price and nothing is worth anything, is the Dark Age in which we wither. But Blake's vision offers us escape from Ulro (the fallen wasteland) and Generation (gross materialism and decadence). If we awaken Albion we can collectively rediscover our essential selves and our sense of nationhood. Our first victory on the path to our liberation must be the awakening of the inner vision of who we are and where we want to go.

There is no conflict between the Irish nationalist, the English nationalist, the Ulster loyalist and the Scots, Welsh and British patriots. The sacred vision of Albion offers a fusion of all folk cultural 'contraries', and the BNP offers freedom for the indigenous folk of Britain from all historic false 'oppositions' of the past.





Then and Now: Part 2

The Lie Of The Liberal-Left And The Decline Of Britain

By Tim Heydon

The changes which have occurred in our country over recent decades have been attributed to different causes. Some have pointed to Britain's diminished role in the world which has induced a mood of retreat and defeat in the political class. Others suggest that a kind of moral and spiritual torpor has occurred because of the rise in living standards, particularly with the middle classes. Others point to a widespread alienation of the people from the economy and the political process, and so on. But a key factor must surely be the growth in influence of the liberal-left.

The liberal-left has an extremist ideology characterised by commitment to radical individual freedom, and to radical equality, meaning equality of outcomes. These commitments are mutually exclusive, because freedom of individuals must lead to inequality.

The commitment to equality takes precedence and, therefore, whilst promising freedom this ideology destroys it. Such freedom as exists is restricted to areas where equality is not an issue, especially sexuality and the arts, where its exercise has the additional benefit of helping to undermine traditional morality.

The demand for unfettered personal freedom is ultimately the result of emotional immaturity; of an adolescent focus on the self. It involves a refusal to admit that a person cannot exist in isolation - is not 'an island'; that everything individuals do and are has an effect on others; that beyond certain limits the blessing of individual freedom becomes licence which can encourage the debasement of the whole of society to the detriment of all, and that the individual owes a duty to the rest of society to contain personal freedom within these limits.

Insistence on the extremes of equality represented by the demand for equal outcomes is equally a function of a juvenile outlook. It



The modern liberal-left is Marxist inspired

represents a wilful denial of the plain facts of human nature and the injustice and oppression which the attempt to organise society on this basis implies.

FROM CLASSIC LIBERALISM TO MARXISM

The modern liberal-left has come about because the traditional social restraints of religion, custom and a sense of community, which curbed a corrupting excess of liberty in the classical liberalism of John Stuart Mill, have progressively been cast aside as the insistence on individual freedom has been driven forward by radicals in the cultural and political elites.

The outcome is that few restraints are left. The crumbling of the political will to defend society against this orgy of destruction is due, not just to the infection of the political class itself with the unfettered liberalism of extreme individualism, but to the harnessing of this ideology to a Marxist conception of extreme equality. This amounts to a

concerted attack by radicals on the pillars of Western society. The aim of this attack is the destruction of the West as a precondition for its reconstruction on Marxist lines.

While the emotional basis of left-liberalism is readily understood, left-liberals are not necessarily unintelligent people. Why do they seem oblivious to the inevitability of the destruction and degeneracy which common sense tells us must result from their ideology? They can have no real idea after all of the society which will result when their ideas are fully realised, unless, perhaps, they are well read in the latter days of the Roman Empire.

There is, for example, no significant case, past or present, of a successful multiracial, multicultural society run on egalitarian lines anywhere on the planet. Why do leftists imagine that the future they hope for must necessarily be such a radical improvement on the past that they are prepared to utterly destroy our culture and way of life?

Surely any reasonable and sensible person can see that ours is

a society which combines a measure of political stability, social justice and dynamism previously unseen in human history, factors which will not be easy to replace or improve on? Surely they realise that the way forward is to build on what we have, not to destroy it?

The answer is to be found in a gross misreading of human nature which assumes that, contrary to all of human experience, human beings will, if liberated from social constraints and treated as equal in every respect, achieve a nirvana of social justice and happiness. The 'natural goodness' of humanity will have been given the opportunity to flourish when the structures of society have been suitably amended by the liberal-left. These beliefs are what amounts to a substitute, secular religion, and are adhered to with the fanaticism of Al Quaida by some to whose otherwise empty lives it gives some sort of meaning.

LOCKE'S DISCREDITED VERSION OF HUMAN NATURE

This Utopian fantasy derives from ideas associated with the 17th Century philosopher John Locke's view of the human mind as a 'blank slate.' According to this view, everything in the mind comes from the environment. This idea has been comprehensively amended and discredited by subsequent work in philosophy such as that of Kant, according to whose theory of knowledge reality for us is constructed when the pre-existing structures of the mind act on information from the environment. Kant's position has been overwhelmingly confirmed by findings in modern science (including recent discoveries in genetics) which stress the way in which inherited factors in human nature affect an individual's reactions to experience.

Locke's discredited version of human nature goes hand in hand in leftist thinking with the equally discredited idea that the 'essential goodness of humanity' is corrupted by its institutions. This notion originated in the 'noble savage' idea of the 18th Century thinker Rousseau who was unaware of how 'savages' actually lived - for

example, the human flesh-eating habits of the constantly warring tribes of Papua New Guinea. What Rousseau seems to have done is to accept the ideals of a Christian society as the norms of humanity in general, dispensing with the utterly realistic concomitant Christian doctrine of original sin, of the natural tendency of individuals towards selfishness and evil. Far from a naturally good humanity being made bad by its institutions - its social environment - modern anthropology shows the contradictory fact that human institutions have underlying similarities based on factors common to human nature: people are not made by their institutions, it is they who make those institutions.

The 'blank slate' view of human nature and its attendant theories, though false, are useful to leftist radicals, whose legendary arrogance and urge to boss others about - for their own good of course - and whose quasi-religious need for a 'politics of meaning' makes them close their eyes to their falsity. They are the basis of the left-liberals' tyrannical view that humanity can and should be moulded and 'perfected' - be returned to its natural state of 'goodness' - by social engineering. People can be made to be 'equal' as they can be made to be 'good'. All divisions of race, sex, ability, character and indeed any aspect of what sensible people recognise as facts of ineradicable human nature, can be abolished if the social environment is suitably adjusted. Hence all the failed social engineering of the liberal-left, designed to alter people's environments whilst ignoring them as human beings, plus the thought police of political correctness which go with these attempts and are part of them.

This accounts for the blindness of the radicals to the destruction and moral chaos they are creating. If people are now bad and unequal, it is because they are 'oppressed.' Oppression must be done away with by changing the social environment. They contend that when this is changed, it follows that what results must be good by definition. And if it plainly isn't - if the attack on the family as the focus of male 'oppression' leads to social isolation


and unhappiness and spurs criminality in the young; if mass immigration destroys social cohesion and any sense of community; if political correctness leads to the stamping out of freedom of speech and choice and to a culture of lies; and so on and so on, well then, just redefine good and evil.

DESTROYING OUR BRITISHNESS

It is a characteristic of the left when faced with an incontrovertible fact of life which gives the lie to its ideology, to argue that such a fact doesn't really exist. It is a function of the environment which can be changed. Thus, sex differences do not exist they are just 'social constructs,' so parental roles are interchangeable and women should take front line roles in the army. The races do not exist, so allow mass immigration and people won't really notice. Human nature doesn't exist, so take punishment out of the criminal justice system and destroy education and morality.

There is no objective difference between the achievements and human worth of different cultures, so why not destroy the Britishness of Britain, denigrate its past and import other cultures. And so the farrago of nonsense, the denial of such deep felt human needs as that of *belonging* to a place and its people, goes on, with all its evil effects.

The left converts reality into words and tries to talk them away to suit its convenience. In this way, personal unhappiness, social ruin, injustice and political tyranny are justified, whilst those who object to this madness because they take a realistic, pragmatic view are vilified as bigots and extremists.



Do leftists think that
people won't really
notice mass immigration?



DISASTER FOR THE TORIES - OUR GREAT ACHIEVEMENT!

Nick Griffin highlights one of the major outcomes of the Euro Election

Forcing the Establishment to turn UKIP from a group of well-meaning elderly cranks into a hugely successful safety valve will, in the long run, turn out to be the BNP's most important achievement of the election. While the UKIP safety valve trick undoubtedly cost us at least three MEPs, its eventual cost to the Marxist-liberal establishment will be many times greater.

Since the early 1960s, British nationalist leaders have quite correctly identified the Conservative party as the great roadblock between us and serious political power. And for those forty years, they have predicted the Tories' imminent demise, without ever being in a position to encourage it.

But all that changed at the 'secret' anti-BNP meeting held in Halifax a couple of months back at which, among other things, the undemocratic plotters undoubtedly discussed the urgent need to create a safety valve for popular dissatisfaction with the liberal elite's multi-culti/federal Europe project.

Nothing else can explain the otherwise incredible failure of Michael Howard and Co to point out that the first opinion polls which started the UKIP bandwagon rolling were flawed and statistically worthless. That would have killed the story stone dead, leaving the BNP in pole position to receive a massive protest vote at the expense of *all* the other parties, doing less damage to the Tories than UKIP did in the end.

Quite simply, the BNP 'threat' forced Michael Howard to break his own party and throw away his chance of becoming Prime Minister. For, whatever the Europhiles in the BBC, *Independent* and the *Guardian* now do to try to derail Kilroy-Silk and his fractious band, the odds are that they will take enough Conservative votes to win Labour the next general election.

Just as important, UKIP's strong showing will reignite the Tory civil war over Europe, and encourage large numbers of true blue activists to walk away from their old political home.

Add this to the problem of a rapidly ageing membership and we can confidently look forward to seeing the great Tory roadblock crumbling into moveable boulders and piles of political dust; the dream of forty years is becoming a reality - and it's largely because the new brand BNP created in recent years was sufficiently attractive to so many voters that the Establishment was forced to sacrifice its right arm to counter us.

Nor was UKIP's success just a matter of a clever PR campaign by Max Clifford, Richard Desmond of the *Express* or his hireling Robert Kilroy-Silk. Our efforts also did a great deal to create the climate in which the UKIP beanstalk grew so tall, so fast. Our election addresses brought years of concern over asylum to a political head, while our TV broadcast was the hardest hitting critique of militant Islam ever televised in Britain.

The broadcast wasn't made just to get votes now, so much as to establish our position on this issue in the public mind for the future, when those "not if, but when" warnings about Islamicist terror come true. Meanwhile, however, something like a million voters looked at what we had to say, agreed with every word, and then voted for Kilroy-Silk's party as a more respectable way of punishing the Establishment on those issues.


Needless to say, we didn't intend that to happen, but that's what did. The point was put perfectly in a letter in the *Sunday Telegraph* on 13th June by a former Conservative activist:

BNP ELECTION ADDRESS 'FIRED UP' VOTERS

"The BNP was the retro-rocket that powered the rise of the UKIP in middle class areas. I have been told by several Conservatives and former Conservative voters that, of all the pamphlets they received for the European elections, the one that fired them up most came from the BNP. It hammered Michael Howard on both asylum and immigration. Middle class electors find it almost impossible to vote for the racist BNP but they can vote for the UKIP with a clear conscience. The real message of the European elections is that English nationalists no longer look to the Conservative party."

Nothing we could have done would have changed this, although we know that where we put up good candidates in local elections and knock politely on middle class doors, then their owners will vote BNP. Certainly putting out a more Eurosceptic message in our own material wouldn't have helped, because anyone who wanted to vote against the EU as their first concern was always going to vote for UKIP rather than us, because it's their single issue, their very *raison d'être*. All such a dilution of our core message would

IRONIC: The Tory Party Conference 2003




have achieved would have been to weaken the resolve of the 808,000 people who were motivated to vote for us rather than UKIP.

But, in the long run, the proportion of the anti-Establishment vote that went to UKIP or to the BNP really won't matter very much. What does matter is that the mould of 'right-wing' politics in Britain has been shattered, and that the Tory party has been dealt a blow from which it will not recover, even when UKIP in due course breaks up in the bloody civil war which is the almost unavoidable fate of a party which has no ideological coherence whatsoever and two mutually incompatible megalomaniacs among its leadership.

The sheer scale of the combined anti-Blairite, anti-Westminster, anti-BBC, anti-immigration, pro-English, pro-UK, pro-identity vote proves what we have known for some time: That there now exists the potential for a rapid, drastic and - in the right hands - permanent shift in the British political climate.

A ray of sunlight has pierced the dusty clouds of propaganda which cover the field of the left's battle to destroy our people and our nation. In that brief light we




HOWARD: New leader, same mistakes

have seen that millions of voters are now up in electoral arms against our masters. Our job is to find the ways and means to organise this latter day Peasants' Revolt, so that the incoherent spasm of protest which benefited UKIP this time around becomes the raw material for a rolling political and cultural national revolution led by the BNP in the future.

From Asylum Seeker to Teacher to Dishwasher - or Terrorist?

Arthur Kemp reports

NUT: NUTters talking rubbish!



"As British as they come" this was how some of the Pakistanis arrested for the recent bomb plot in London were described in the media but in reality the arrests expose just how dramatically successive Tory and Labour governments have failed to protect native Britons from uncontrolled Third World immigration and asylum seekers.

The arrested men have been charged with planning a terrorist attack after Police raids seized more than half a tonne of bomb-making ammonium nitrate fertilizer yet the *Daily Mirror* told us that the men were "... regular English guys. They are as British as they come. They don't even have beards." As if not having a beard makes one British!

But what type of perilous immigration policy has allowed such home grown terrorists to take centre stage in Britain today?

The answer is simpler than one thinks: the same type of chameleon Labour/Tory madness which makes

the National Union of Teachers - that group which accused the BNP of 'racism' yet which has its own "blacks only section" - appeal recently for asylum seekers in the UK to become teachers!

According to the NUT, British schools should start using asylum seekers with foreign teacher qualifications, adding that "training opportunities to get them up to speed with UK practices needed to be enhanced to prevent skills being wasted."

Skills being wasted? The twisted logic behind the NUT's latest call is exposed in the establishment's own media: the *Birmingham Post* reported that the most recent batch of teachers brought over from India and Africa to the Midlands had ended up as dish washers after being made redundant.

Teachers are, along with nurses and policemen, one of the pillars of any orderly society yet one can only shudder at the lunacy which has gripped Britain's ruling elite.

To think that policies which can only be objectively described as mad, are not only considered, but actually implemented - and which then lead to the absurd situation where asylum seekers become teachers, then dishwashers, while other Third World immigrants plan to blow up London...

The time has come to put native British people first: instead of seeking out fourth rate 'teachers' amongst asylum seekers, the NUT and its government allies should be investing in training British people; and instead of subsidising Third World immigrants to be mini-Osama Bin Ladens in the UK, the government should be taking steps to protect this already overcrowded island from being overrun.



Jul 2004

Got the Hump?

The BNP's road user policy explained by Raymond Dobing

Following on from Alan Winder's articles on public transport (*Identity* January and February 2004), the South East England region has released, with the party leader's approval, a concise policy document entitled *Got the Hump?* The leaflet gives the BNP's position in regard to the road user and will be useful ammunition for our activists.

Not all areas of the country face exactly the same road user problems, but the policy leaflet will give answers to all the main questions which are often put to our candidates and canvassers in council ward elections. What can be closer to home than the road outside? Where do we stand on congestion charges? What about those road humps? Speed cameras? It will not do for party representatives to ad-lib on these issues. Therefore this concise leaflet is essential to both candidates and canvassers alike.

In this article I am concentrating on one particular policy - crash helmets - and elaborating on a couple of others. However, the reader will first want to know what *Got the Hump?* covers. Briefly it deals with the party's stance on:

- Road traffic calming
- Speed limits
- Noise pollution
- Type approval
- Congestion Charges (a must for London activists)
- Age restrictions
- Road building
- Taxation
- The motor industry
- Crime
- Safety - personal

Of the above the most contentious has been personal safety, where I have had to fight for the freedom lovers' corner. In the party policy statement *Where We Stand* we are given the promise of "A

massive expansion of freedom and democracy to roll back the erosion of our traditional freedoms". Unfortunately some members seem to think that once on the road all our rights and freedoms must be abolished. Fortunately we have a leader in Nick Griffin with whom one can hold a discussion on this issue.

CRASH HELMETS

The BNP will, when it comes to power, repeal the compulsory use of crash helmets for motorcyclists, henceforth described as Powered Two Wheelers (PTWs). This has been a long term objective and I for one am glad that the party is sticking to its guns. No doubt the politically correct and the Safety Stasi will be appalled.

Let me give some facts. When the law came into force in 1973 most riders (around 90%) wore helmets. Therefore, the law was, and is, not necessary. Following this gross infringement, the death rate increased amongst PTWs. Furthermore, to my knowledge there is no scientific proof that helmets save lives. Currently in the USA in the states that have repealed their helmet laws the death rate amongst PTWs has dropped.

Of course, common sense tells us that there are instances where helmets save lives and reduce injuries. Therefore, to protect the young and inexperienced not all PTWs will be exempt. In line with party policy (see Age Restrictions) the PTW user will have to be at least 18 years of age. Plus he or she will have to be a qualified rider, and that includes the pillion passenger too.

There will be those who will disagree with the party's position on this matter. They will probably be car drivers etc, those that have never owned, let alone been on, a powered two wheeler. I would point out to them that first it became compulsory for PTWs to wear helmets, then

construction workers. Cyclists will be the next victims of the New Labour Safety Stasi. Who next?

IT'S ABOUT CHOICE

Car rally drivers and other racing drivers wear helmets. Since most people who are killed in car accidents die from head injuries, it makes sense that car users should wear helmets. *But let's stop there.* The helmet issue is not about safety, it's about choice; adult rights and freedom.

We do not want to be a party that lets people die in prison because they were not dressed 'properly'. This was the fate of war hero Fred Hill who died aged 74 while serving his 31st prison sentence for not dressing properly. The sentence - under a Conservative Government - was sixty days. Sixty days longer than many criminals serve for killing people on our roads. What freedom is there if you have no choice on how you dress?

ROAD FUND LICENCE

On the subject of taxation of the motorist, our policy is that the Road Fund Licence should be scrapped and an extra charge be placed on fuel. We will go further, third party insurance will also be levied on fuel (*I assume the objective will be to safeguard responsible drivers against those who currently drive without insurance Editor*). Those wishing to extend their insurance to cover theft and or fire etc will be free to do so in the usual manner. "Oh no!", I hear, even the BNP is going to clobber the motorist. Not so, the motorist will be far better off under a British National Party administration. If you want to know how, get the leaflet!

Although *Got the Hump?* deals with our position on serious crime, 'road rage' is not mentioned. Road rage is a scourge on Britain's roads. The build up of frustration due to traffic density is largely to blame.

Do you ever notice how much quieter the roads get when a relatively small number of people leave these shores to go on holiday? Try to imagine several million

fewer people in this country, **permanently!**

Even so, there would still be one or two maniacs on our roads who would still succumb to road rage. These people, when caught, will find the whole weight of BNP law and order on their backs, make no mistake. Note our intent on what to do with those who kill and maim on our roads. It's all in *Got the Hump?*

Although not the most important issue in future elections, in certain circumstances *Got the Hump?* may prove to be a vote catcher! It is not intended as a leaflet for mass distribution but as ammo. However, there is no harm in handing it out to interested voters.

For copies of *Got the Hump?* send an S.A.E. and a donation to: Wessex Promotions, PO Box 769, Crawley, West Sussex RH10 6WD and we will send you several copies. Make cheques payable to: British National Party.



Vanguard - ISSUE 12

The BNP's official monthly in-depth educational CD. Essential listening for all serious Nationalists.

Featuring:

- 1) *Legislating the Multi-Cultural Society*
- 2) *The Perils of Television*
- 3) *Orkney's Magic Rings*

Vanguard is produced on behalf of the British National Party and distributed by Excalibur. Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. Each issue costs £5 inc P&P.

A subscription for the next 12 issues costs £50. Credit/debit card payments can be accepted. Online at the following address: www.bnp.org.uk/vanguard



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

'BNP Was UKIP's Retro-Rocket'

Further to our Editorial (p.3) comment on the fashionable vote for UKIP, is it not amazing that - at the time of writing - virtually all the political pundits are putting its success down solely to the public's opposition to the EU? Opinion polls conducted in May showed that only 2 to 4 per cent of voters regarded Europe as their main concern. In contrast, one poll said mass immigration was the principal concern of the voters. Having previously avoided any criticism of immigration policy (or lack of), UKIP's hired Machiavellians, Max Clifford and Dick Morris (see Editorial) saw that it was a vote winner and spread the word that "UKIP was concerned about mass immigration". The mass media mouthpieces of the political establishment readily picked this up, knowing that it would harm the BNP's chances.

Confirmation of this came in a letter in the *Sunday Telegraph* of 13.06.04 from a G.Dawson of Thingwall, Wirral (which has also been picked up by Nick Griffin in his article on "Disaster for the Tories"). Mr Dawson wrote:

"There is reason to believe that the BNP was the retro-rocket that powered the rise of the UKIP in middle-class areas. I have been told by several Conservative voters that, of all the pamphlets they received for the European elections, the one that fired them up most came from the BNP. It hammered Michael Howard on both asylum seekers and immigration".

Of course the problem was that with illegal smear campaigns conducted by such Marxist inspired bodies as *Searchlight*, Unison, and Unite Against Facism (UAF) and condoned by the mass media, many middle-class voters found it much easier on their conscience to vote for the media-created clean image of the UKIP, which they believed had been born by some act of political immaculate conception.

The voters may regret their actions sooner than they think if the record of the three UKIP MEPs elected in 1999 is anything to go by. According to its founder, Alan Sked, the maiden speeches of Michael Holmes and Graham Booth were greeted with derisory howls of laughter at Strasbourg. The first called for greater powers for the European Parliament - not exactly UKIP policy - and the second was incomprehensible. According to independent research by the European Studies centre at the London School of Economics, the three often voted in different ways on the same issue. Indeed, says Alan Sked, their voting record is bizarre. They were unanimous when they voted against reforming the notoriously corrupt expenses system and were the only British party to do so.

One final point on how deep their patriotism goes, even though they had £2 million in funds they had their election addresses printed in Eastern Europe because they were cheaper. The BNP, with £250,000 funds, had ours printed in Britain because we believe in protecting British jobs.

Reality and Myth On The EU Constitution

The ultimate liar Tony Blair has committed the ultimate act of treachery by signing the EU constitution. It is far from being "a tidying up exercise", as the odious Peter Hain, Labour's Europe Minister, described it as he supported his master in the attempt to bamboozle the British electorate. The pro-Federalist press of Europe played their part in the campaign of deception by proclaiming that Blair had won "many victories for Britain" in the stage-managed haggling prior to the signing.

The EU constitution changes the EU from an association of states deriving its authority from international treaties, to a Federal state in its own right with primacy over *any* laws of the member states. This is stated quite clearly in Article 1-5, and is the "reality", Mr Blair, and not the "myth". Furthermore, Article 1-6 gives the EU legal authority to allow it to be treated as a state under international law.

What this means is that EU law now takes precedence over all legislation of individual nation-states. It means the loss of control of our national borders, replaced by a European wide immigration policy. It gives a greater role for the European Central Bank (now part of the global capitalist structure) over financial policy of member states. It gives a greater role for the European Court of Justice, particularly with the widening of its powers to encompass criminal legislation, trade union and employment law and, in case it is overlooked, all aspects of "race relations".

All opinion polls show that an overwhelming majority of Britons are opposed to further integration into Europe. The BNP will support the campaigns being organised by various groups and parties against Blair's act of betrayal. If the immediate outcome is that New Labour is defeated at next year's General Election then I don't think that Tony Blair will be unduly upset. The EU constitution creates an EU President. That's what Blair is after.

Bloomberg Finances Main UK Parties

A revealing report appeared in the Business section of the *Sunday Telegraph* of June 6th, 2004. Journalist Guy Dennis said that Bloomberg, the American financial media company owned by the billionaire mayor of New York, Michael Bloomberg, has made a series of donations to the three main British political parties despite a law banning contributions from foreign corporations. Bloomberg has bypassed the rules by setting up shell companies registered in the UK and using them to make donations: a splendid example of the way global capitalist companies operate.

Since 2001 Bloomberg has made three cash donations to Labour worth a total of £51,113, according to records at the Electoral Commission, the political watchdog. There were two other donations to Labour

classed as "sponsorship" worth a total of £11,548 in the same period. The Liberal Democrats had to settle for a cash donation of £16,156 last October.

The *Telegraph* report seemed rather vague in regard to the donations received by the Conservative Party but said that any donations would be for organising its conferences and would go through a separate company, CCO Conferences Ltd and as a result are not declared as donations to the party. Not for nothing were the Tories once labelled as the "masters of sleaze".

The question one may ask is why do we have this scion of global finance capitalism giving money - small though it may be to the big parties - to the British political establishment? They certainly would not give it to any party that believed in positive steps to protect British industry and British jobs from overseas competition based on cheap labour. That's why the BNP is unlikely to receive a cheque from Mr Bloomberg.

Immigration 'Six Times' More Than Official Figure

More and more senior civil servants home and abroad are whistle blowing on the true extent of illegal immigration. There was the case of James Cameron, a manager in the visa and consular section of the British embassy in Romania who exposed the abuses which led Beverley Hughes to quit as immigration minister. He highlighted the fast-tracking of visa applications from Romania and Bulgaria without proper checks. This included the issuing of a visa to a one-legged Romanian roof tiler. For his reward he has been told he would not be promoted for three years, would receive no pay rise for a year and was banned from returning to his post in Bucharest.

Later last month Robert Owen, a Home Office official, told a court that immigration could be running at six times higher than official figures. He said he could not even "guesstimate" the true number of foreign nationals living in Britain. He was giving evidence in the trial at Swansea Crown Court of three Chinese accused of people trafficking on behalf of snakehead gangs (they were later acquitted).

Mr Owen said there were "no serious removal arrangements" to send asylum seekers back to China. Most of those who were rejected stayed on. "Accepted figures for people coming in are significantly higher than official figures."

No doubt the Government will soon be giving Mr Owen his desserts; chop suey.

Recent opinion polls have shown that millions of indigenous Britons, plus many of immigrant stock, do not trust the Government to give them the true picture on immigration or take their wishes into account. It is, of course, galling to think that on June 10th only one million had the sense and the courage to vote BNP, when just double that number would probably have forced the establishment to do something about it.

Meanwhile, Blunkett and Blair have been playing with smoke and mirrors to try and fool us that numbers are down by emphasising the drop in the number of asylum applications this year. The facts are that they are being given visas and work permits instead, as

exposed in the Romanian embassy affair. Furthermore, 120,00 foreign nationals were granted British citizenship in 2003, according to a recent analysis by the National Audit Office, which was more than three times the number in 1997. The NAO concluded: "There is no clear statistical evidence that the reduction in the number of asylum applications has had any significant impact on other forms of migration." Commenting on the latest reports, Migration Watch UK said that in 2002 the number of people arriving to settle and work rose above half a million for the first time, to 512,800. Over the past five years, net immigration - the difference between those leaving and arriving - was 790,000. There are no figures for the huge and growing numbers in the country illegally.

Migration Watch UK added that the National Audit Office was also asked to consider allegations that the Home Office was "massaging" its asylum statistics. It considered them to be "broadly reliable". Yes, as reliable as pigs' ability to fly.

'Ethnic Bomb' To Attack White Races

A short report recently appeared on the internet that said that North Korean scientists were working on an "ethnic bomb", more accurately described as a biological weapon, which is designed to attack specific human genetic structures. Its dispersal methods vary, but most analysts expect it would be put in a warhead and launched on a missile. The report said that Mossad, the CIA and MI6 have all independently confirmed that the North Korean team of microbiologists were developing this "ethnic bomb" designed to attack white races.

Apart from the obvious implications that even the modern Marxists now recognise that there are genetic differences between the races, the idea of an "ethnic bomb" is not new. The following details appeared in my autobiography *Many Shades of Black* (unfortunately now out of print):

In 1992 Bo Rybeck, Director of the Swedish National Defence Research Institute, stated that as we became able to identify the DNA variations of different racial and ethnic groups, "we will be able to determine the difference between blacks and whites and Orientals and Jews and Swedes and Finns and develop an agent that will kill only a particular group."

The *Sunday Times* of 15.11.1998 revealed in a report from Israel: "Israel is working on a biological weapon that would harm Arabs but not Jews, according to Israeli military and western intelligence sources. In developing their 'ethno-bomb', Israeli scientists are trying to exploit medical advances by identifying genes carried by some Arabs, then create a genetically modified bacterium or virus."

"The intention is to use the ability of viruses and certain bacteria to alter the DNA inside their host's living cells. The scientists are trying to engineer deadly micro-organisms that attack only those bearing the distinctive genes."

Before we are quoted out of context we do not suggest that this projected horror of ethnic cleansing should be Britain's answer to the immigration problem.



Jul 2004

THE AN... ASYLUM IN BRITAIN... asylum... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

Readers Letters,

BNP

elec

250

FR

A driver racially

and assaulted by

on the

sylv

A driver racially

and assaulted by

on the

sylv

A driver racially

and assaulted by

on the

sylv

A driver racially

and assaulted by

on the

sylv

A driver racially

and assaulted by

on the

sylv

A driver racially

and assaulted by

Speaker's Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Policeman's View of Gavin Hopley's Death

I was disgusted to see that the court cases involving the deaths of Gavin Hopley and Sean Whyte did not receive the national coverage and the public outrage that the Stephen Lawrence murder invoked. I take it that there will be no public inquiry into these deaths. The Lawrence inquiry has made a massive impact on our police and the way they combat crime and carry out their everyday tasks.

I recently questioned my local MP (Labour) regarding the Government's stance on the Gavin Hopley murder, only to be told it was manslaughter and not murder. That makes it OK then? His death obviously doesn't warrant proper investigation, perhaps for fear of revealing the truth about our multicultural Utopia. When are people going to wake up to the inept 'politicians' that currently govern this once great nation?

JW
Leicester

PS. Please do not publish my name and address. As a serving police officer I will be subjected to a great witch-hunt. You can't have an opinion unless it is Blair's or you are totally PC.

>> A Good Election Result

I must admit that as I sat up to the early hours watching the MEP Election results I felt quite despondent that the BNP did not win one seat. Later, when the full voting figures were published, I began to realise that as we had increased our vote ten-fold in many places, and even more in others compared with the 1999 election, then we had done really well, particularly if you think of all the mud that was thrown at the BNP from all comers and that some of us, including me, did not even receive a BNP election address. Also, we must not overlook the fact that we gained more votes at the local elections and increased our number of Councillors to 21.

The press and TV used the UKIP as a safety valve, to draw support away from the BNP. When you look at the 12 old buffers who were elected, with the possible exception

of Kilroy-Silk with his TV experience, I don't have much hope of them achieving anything. The good side is that UKIP's success has helped to break the old traditional voting mould. This is all to the long-term advantage of the BNP.

J.E.Wright
Nottingham

>> A Happy Bradford Voter

Congratulations are in order. This is one of the happiest days of my life. Even though living in Manningham, I couldn't vote for my own party in the local elections (something I WILL put right). I am so ecstatic that the BNP has finally come to Bradford! We have won in Wyke!

Bradford is where BNP support matters the most. I am disappointed by the Oldham results, but this doesn't matter that much when you

consider that we are in Bradford itself. Everyone I know voted BNP.

I phoned my uncle to tell him the good news, as he moved out of Wyke and went to Cornwall a week ago, but unbeknown to me he had left a goodbye present for Wyke. Both he and my aunt voted BNP before they left, and I had no idea he was a BNP voter.

Daniel Thorlby
Bradford

>> From Jutland To The BNP

The following letter was sent to the Financial Times after publication of an article about the BNP. It was not published - Editor.

Your articles about the BNP appeared on the anniversary of the battle of Jutland. Whilst you belittle them, I suggest the BNP is the only party in this age of politically correct extremism which stands up for the basic freedoms that past generations fought for.

My uncle, Jack Cornwell, won the Victoria Cross at Jutland for "sticking to his guns" when mortally wounded and the same generation of my family later fought for more battle honours at Tobruk, El-Alamein and Anzio. Subsequently, all were betrayed by their government because they were not allowed to resist when post-war invaders took over their home town.

Our family were natives of Newham in East London until the arrival of some 200,000 immigrants who completely overwhelmed the community and displaced most of the ethnic white population. Elsewhere in the world, such activity is condemned as ethnic cleansing, but Newham is hailed as a politically correct monument to the new multicultural order.

We recognise that both Jutland

The... Adrian... used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

used to accommodate asylum seekers... fold... increase in infecti... people with the liver c... ger Williams, of the... baton... and... ANGER /... TONY Blair's vow to cut... class... RAI... ASYLUM SEEK...

to stage hit **TAITRA** **No2** **GIVEN** **heroin mob** **£250,000** **IDENTITY** **21**



GRAHAM JOHN

1941-2004

Graham John was born on 3rd February 1941 in the district of Llwynypia, near the town of Tonypandy in the Rhondda Valley, within 100 yards of the river Taff.

A hereditary Freeman of Llantrisant (the old capital of Wales), he was proud of the part his ancestors had played at the battle of Agincourt as long-bowmen.

The family later moved to the London suburb of Wembley, his father working at the great British aircraft works of Handley Page at Cricklewood.

Graham was a student at the Harrow College of Engineering. He showed an aptitude and skill for maths, chemistry and physics which he retained all his life. His sporting interest was, like that of many of Welsh descent, rugby.

In 1974, concerned at the political situation in Britain, he joined the big nationalist party of the time the National Front; soon becoming a Branch Organiser, then Regional Organiser and then being elected onto its governing body.

In the late 1980s Graham moved to Southend and eventually re-involved himself with active nationalism again by joining the BNP in 2002. For a year or two prior to this, Graham addressed several BNP training seminars teaching the virtues of professional sales techniques in face-to-face contact with the general public ('cold-calling'), to BNP members interested in canvassing for elections.

A year after joining, Graham John re-founded Southend BNP (it had existed briefly some years ago) and after just four months stood for the local council elections getting a very creditable 249 votes for 17.1% of the poll at his first attempt in Victoria ward where he lived.

In recent years Graham became interested in the



teachings of the Christian Identity Mission becoming the first ordained Pastor of Christian Identity in Britain. He was extremely proud of this work and he became well known through its production of CDs and booklets.

Graham John died of cancer on the 12th May 2004. His final thoughts were for the welfare of the British National Party.


A Race Against Time: Racial Heresies for the 21st Century **George McDaniel & Jared Taylor**

A Race Against Time is a collection of articles from *American Renaissance*, a leading journal of racial-realist thinking. This book represents an unapologetic break with the assumptions and clichés of the civil rights era and political correctness. The authors believe that when decades of experience do nothing but contradict the assumptions that underlie social policy, those assumptions must be reexamined. America has tried - as earnestly as a nation can try anything - to build a society on the assumption that race can be made not to matter. It is time to recognize failure.

These authors and the movement they represent are entirely in earnest about the survival of Western civilization and the people who created it. Unless whites shake off the teachings of racial orthodoxy they will cease to be a distinct people with a culture of their own. History, morality, biology, and generations of common sense justify their desire that their descendants should

be the heirs to the culture and civilization of Europe, that their lives be shaped by their own history rather than by the demands of people unlike themselves. More and more whites are awakening to the crisis they face. They will eventually shake off their lethargy and secure for themselves that to which all men have a right: survival as a people.

This important and readable book can be purchased from Excalibur at PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW, please make all cheques payable to "Excalibur". Books can all be purchased from www.bnp.org.uk or www.excaliburheritage.com



Red White & Blue RWB 2004

The annual Red, White and Blue festival will take place on the weekend of 14th/15th August 2004, at the usual venue in Sawley, near Clitheroe.

All BNP members, supporters and friend are invited to attend this family festival, where you can meet old friends, relax and have fun. You are welcome to arrive on the Friday evening to set up camp!



Attractions Include:

Live Bands

Chairman's Speech

Political Question Time

Kids' Sporting Events

Fireworks Display

Stalls and Games



Tickets will be on sale from 1st July as follows

- Free to under 18's if you aren't eligible to vote, you don't have to pay!
- £20 per head at the gate
- £15 in advance from Trafalgar Club
- £12.50 in advance from your local unit (Bulk purchases of 10 tickets to be arranged via the Deputy Treasurer)

One price covers the entire weekend, no day rates apply. We reserve the right to refuse admission. Strict rules to make this a 100% family-friendly event will enforced.

Tickets from: PO Box 14, Welshpool, SY21 0WE

Make cheques payable to: 'Trafalgar Club'

Please see the website for event details, site rules and regulations, site map and help wanted: www.bnp.org.uk

All stall holders and merchants need permits this year, so please contact the event organisers to apply.

I'M A DUMMY



SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY

Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best nationalist writers, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per wk!

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable): British Isles £15 ☐ Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24 ☐

Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name:

Address:

Postcode:

Telephone:

Email:

All cheques etc should be made payable to British National Party or British Heritage and sent to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge CB1 6WF. If you have any queries, please call us on 0870 7573 267. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk order rates:

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90; £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage — £1.05 for 5, £1.94 for 10, £4.05 for 15 - 20, £4.34 for 25 - 30, £5.19 for 40 - 50